

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,392	07/11/2003	Minekazu Momiyama	240110US2	3322
22850	7590 09/23/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, THU V	
	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3661	
	•		DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,392	MOMIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thu Nguyen	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicable. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoration of the second	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replyation.  19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3  17sy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:  19by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 30 August 2004.					
	☐ This action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for	, <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer					
	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Appone priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s)/N	fail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/6/04 &amp; 8/19/04.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The response to the election/restriction requirement filed on August 30, 2004 has been entered. By this response, group I (claims 1-4) has been elected with traverse, accordingly, claims 1-4 are examined in this office action, claims 5-6 are withdrawn from consideration, and claims 1-6 are now pending in the application.

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.
 The abstract should be limited to <u>a single paragraph</u> within the range of 50 to 150 words.

 It is important that the abstract <u>not exceed 150 words in length</u> since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al (US 4,718,685).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,392

Art Unit: 3661

.

As per claim 1, 3, Kawabe teaches a vehicle operation control method for controlling an actual steering angle of driven wheels. The method comprises: obtaining steering angle  $\theta_s$  of the steering wheel (col.3, lines 35-37); controlling a variable gain (Kf, Kr) based on the vehicle side speed V, which is determined from the vehicle velocity (col.9, lines 1-7); multiplying the steering angle with the variable gain Kf, Kr (col.8, line 35); further, since Kawabe teaches controlling the actual steering angle of the driven wheel based on a result of the desired steer angle  $\delta R$  which is effected by the result of the multiplication of the value  $V_{v}^{*}$  (col.7, lines 1-6, lines 43-55; col.8, lines 35; col.9, lines 1-14; fig.3), Kawabe obviously teaches controlling steering angle of the driven wheels based on the result of the value V\*v. Kawabe does not explicitly teach using the change amount of the steering angles and does not explicitly teach integrating the results of the multiplication. However, since Kawabe teaches obtaining the steering angle  $\theta_s$  from the steering angle sensor (col.3, lines 35-39), and since it would have been well known that the steering angle sensor determines the steering angle relative to the neutral position, Kawabe obviously encompasses teaching the change of the steering angle with respect to the neutral position. Furthermore, since Kawabe suggests performing integration on the time depending variables (col.6, lines 29-34; col.7, lines 43-47), and since the multiplication value V\*<sub>v</sub> contains the time variable  $\theta_s$ , it would have been obvious to perform integration on the multiplication value V<sub>y</sub> of Kawabe for suitable required accuracy of the variable.

4. Claims 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe et al (US 4,718,685) in view of Kawamuro et la (US 6,302,441).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,392 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 2, 4, Kawamuro suggests including variable gain being a transmission ratio (col.4, lines 53-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the variable gain of Kawabe to represent the transmission ratio taught by Kawamuro in order to reduce quick alteration of transmission ratio with the reduction of the vehicle speed as taught by Kawamuro in col.1, lines 21-27.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on August 30, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that no undue burden required because the claims directed to the same classes and subclasses. This is not found persuasive because although group I and group II are basically included in the same main class and subclasses 701/41, group I and group II requires searching in other different class and subclasses. For example, group I also requires searching at least in class 701/51, 61 directed to transmission control; while group II requires searching at least in class 180/410, 421, and 423 directed to steerable wheel with condition modulated steering or class 280/93.5, 771 directed to monitoring and indicating means and steering column or wheel in response to collision.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3661

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Page 5

Or:

(703) 305-7687 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451.
Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

THU V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 17, 2003